



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/446,583	12/22/1999	PHILIP C. LEVERIDGE	36-1302	2585	
23117	7590 05/19/2003	. •			
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 1100 N GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714			EXAMINER		
			BAUGH, A	UGH, APRIL L	
		•			
ARLINGTON	, VA 22201-4/14	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			2143	9	
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			pre
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/446,583	LEVERIDGE ET AL.	•
·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	April L Baugh	2143	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of th	cation. A proper rep	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action: or 6	e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	, ·		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of t	inally rejected claim	ns.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	• • •		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:	•	•	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:	SUPE	DAVID WILEY PAYSORY PATENT EX CHNOLOGY CENTER	AMINER 2100

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amendments to independent claims 1 and 9 raise new issues that will require further search. Claims 1 and 9 now incorporate the storing of access status data of the authorized user in the resource server which was not claimed beforehand and is not disclosed in the prior art used for the final rejection (Levergood et al.) Claim 1 no longer claims the transmission of the identifier with request from client terminal to the resource server and the storing of status data in response to receipt and validation of authentication data which was disclosed in Levergood et al. .